

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA

No. 2:24-cv-02628-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02680-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02683-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02684-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02685-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02691-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02693-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02694-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02695-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-02698-WBS-CSK

ORDER


Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any

1 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
2 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
3 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
4 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
5 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
6 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

7 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
8 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-02628, 2:24-cv-02680, 2:24-cv-
10 02683, 2:24-cv-02684, 2:24-cv-02685, 2:24-cv-02691, 2:24-cv-02693, 2:24-cv-02694, 2:24-cv-
11 02695 and 2:24-cv-02698 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases.
12 No further filings will be accepted.

13 Dated: November 18, 2024


14 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 ¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-02628, plaintiff names the Yolo County Superior Court. In the
24 captions of 2:24-cv-02680, 2:24-cv-02684, 2:24-cv-02685, 2:24-cv-02691, 2:24-cv-02693, 2:24-
25 cv-02694, 2:24-cv-02695 and 2:24-cv-02698, plaintiff names the Kings County Superior Court.
26 To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States
27 District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-
28 02628, 2:24-cv-02680, 2:24-cv-02684, 2:24-cv-02685, 2:24-cv-02691, 2:24-cv-02693, 2:24-cv-
02694, 2:24-cv-02695 and 2:24-cv-02698 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal
conviction.